

HEARTS PROJECT

- Meetings evaluation -



2014

Heritage Empowering Affectivity and Revaluing Traditions and Solidarity



Partners:

Romania: Bucovina Guides Association

Turkey: Bolu Halkın Eğitimini Geliştirme ve Destekleme Derneği

Italy: AIM Network



Greece: Computer House






THE RESULTS OF EVALUATION FROM THE 1th MEETING
13-16th DECEMBER 2012 FERRARA – ITALY

Report of the evaluation form questionnaires (N = 24)


A. Organization: How do you evaluate the work of the organization team?




B. Meeting: How do you evaluate the work concerning the meeting?



C. Local associations



D. Projects






THE RESULTS OF EVALUATION FROM THE 2nd MEETING


17-20 MAY 2013 – ROMANIA


PROJECT MANAGEMENT

1. The allocation of the working phases and related activities among the partners is reasonable




2. The timetable of the project is reasonable







3. The planning undertaken prior the project team meetings are effective




4. Project team meetings are well-led and highly productive







5. Taking all factors into account, I am satisfied with the overall management of the project




PROJECT PROGRESS

6. Overall, I feel that the project is progressing in line with the schedule







7. Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the project work completed up to now




8. I am satisfied with the progress of my partner working group towards the accomplishment of our project activities






COMMUNICATION AND CO-OPERATION


9. Overall, there is a good cooperation between the partners



10. The communication between the partners is effective via:

a. Emails:






b. the internal communications internet platform


The communication between partners is effective via the internal communications internet platform



c. face-to-face meetings

The communication between the partners is effective via face-to-face meetings





11. The internal communications internet platform:


- a. provides partners with adequate information about the project


The internal communications internet platform: provides partners with adequate information about the project




- b. is user-friendly

The internal communications internet platform is user-friendly







12. When problems arise, these are resolved promptly.




13. The programme of the meeting was interesting, focused on the scope of the project and supported the aim of the project





ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

1. I'm generally satisfied with warm and friendly welcoming by Romanian group. They tried to help us from beginning to the end of trip. We had good opportunity by overcoming obstacles during the project process. We covered a good way in implementing the project. We shared our thoughts and ideas. E planed hat e would do after this meeting. It will be very useful to follow the objectives of project.
Thank you everybody for any kind of help.
2. We had a good time during the meeting. I think the suggestions, opinions in the meeting will be more effective for the progress of the project in the future.
3. I think communication between partners is very good. They express their opinions to all and there is no criticism. The suggestion for more development of the project were very effective.
4. We created a good atmosphere with our partners. They did the best to help us. E felt home here in Romania. We did useful things for project. Thank you.




THE RESULTS OF EVALUATION FROM THE 3rd MEETING


22-24 JUNE 2013 BUCHAREST – ROMANIA


PROJECT MANAGEMENT

1. The allocation of the working phases and related activities among the partners is reasonable




2. The timetable of the project is reasonable







3. The planning undertaken prior the project team meetings are effective




4. Project team meetings are well-led and highly productive







5. Taking all factors into account, I am satisfied with the overall management of the project




PROJECT PROGRESS

6. Overall, I feel that the project is progressing in line with the schedule







7. Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the project work completed up to now




8. I am satisfied with the progress of my partner working group towards the accomplishment of our project activities






COMMUNICATION AND CO-OPERATION


9. Overall, there is a good cooperation between the partners




10. The communication between the partners is effective via:

a. Emails:







b. the internal communications internet platform




c. face-to-face meetings







11. The internal communications internet platform:

- a. provides partners with adequate information about the project




- b. is user-friendly






12. When problems arise, these are resolved promptly.



13. The programme of the meeting was interesting, focused on the scope of the project and supported the aim of the project





ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

1. Congratulations for the very well planning and your very good ideas!
2. It's a very excellent meeting, very interesting, many, many thanks for all. You are perfect!
3. Great meeting!




THE RESULTS OF EVALUATION FROM THE 4th MEETING


18-21 OCTOBER 2013 BOLU – TURKEY

PROJECT MANAGEMENT

1. The allocation of the working phases and related activities among the partners is reasonable:




2. The time table of the project is reasonable:






3. The planning under taken prior the project team meetings are effective:




4. Project team meetings are well-led and highly productive:






5. Taking all factors in to account, I am satisfied with the over all management of the project:




PROJECT PROGRESS

6. Overall, I feel that the project is progressing in line with the schedule:






7. Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the project work completed up to now:




8. I am satisfied with the progress of my partner working group towards the accomplishment of our project activities:






COMMUNICATION AND CO-OPERATION

9. Overall, there is a good cooperation between the partners:




10. The communication between the partners is effective via:

a. Emails:






b. The internal communications internet platform:




c. face-to-face meetings






11. The internal communications internet platform:

a. Provides partners with adequate information about the project:




b. is user-friendly






12. When problems arise, these are resolved promptly:



13. The programme of the meeting was interesting, focused on the scope of the project and supported the aim of the project:





ADDITIONAL COMMENTS


1. We are satisfied about the group and partners from each country. The atmosphere was great and the people are so kind.
2. Thank you for organizing such a nice meeting and with nice people. I am satisfied about this meeting.

THE RESULTS OF EVALUATION FROM THE 5th MEETING


27-30 APRIL 2014 TRIPOLIS – GREECE

ORGANIZATION


1. How do you evaluate the work of the organization team? Welcome, kindness, helpfulness:




2. Food: coffee break and meal during the meeting



3. Were the locations appropriate?




4. Overall evaluation of the organization (from 0= very bad to 10= very good)




MEETING

5. Meeting: How do you evaluate the work concerning the meeting?


a. Communication




b. Clarity, effectiveness




c. Were the topics interesting?



d. Were the topics useful for your work:




- e. Did the meeting achieved its objectives? (from 0= not at all to 10=absolutely)




Local associations


10. Your opinion on "Folk Art Museum"




11. Your opinion on "Athletic Club"




12. Your opinion on "Pastry Lab"




13. Your opinion on Computer House



14. Did you already take part in a project?



15. Would you like to be involved in a project, in the future?



ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

1. I want to thank all the partners for their presence at our last meeting in Tripolis;
2. I want to thank all partners for their help and cooperation on this project. Without them we wouldn't have accomplish all these;
3. I am looking forward for future cooperation with them.

THE RESULTS OF EVALUATION FROM THE 6th MEETING


20-23 APRIL 2014 TRIPOLIS – GREECE

ORGANIZATION


1. How do you evaluate the work of the organization team? Welcome, kindness, helpfulness:




2. Food: coffee break and meal during the meeting



3. Were the locations appropriate?




4. Overall evaluation of the organization (from 0= very bad to 10= very good)




MEETING


1. How do you evaluate the work concerning the meeting?
 - a. Communication




- b. Clarity, effectiveness




c. Were the topics interesting?



d. Were the topics useful for your work?




e. Did the meeting achieved its objectives? *(from 0= not at all to 10=absolutely)*




Local associations

1. Your opinion on "Folk Art Museum"




2. Your opinion on "Athletic Club"




3. Your opinion on "Workshop with traditional sweets"




4. Your opinion on Computer House



5. Did you already take part in a project?



6. Would you like to be involved in a project, in the future?





ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

1. I want to thank all the partners for their presence at 6th meeting in Tripolis
2. I want to thank all partners for their help and cooperation on this project without them we wouldn't have accomplish all these.
3. I am looking forward for future cooperation with them.

This publication is the final outcome of
HEARTS(Heritage Empowering Affectivity and Revaluing Traditions and
Solidarity) a project by LLP Grundtvig Partnership.

The project has been funded with support from the
European Commission, through Grundtvig Programme
This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission
cannot be
held responsible for any use which may be made of the
information contained therein.

This publication has been supported by the
European Commission, LLP Grundtvig Partnership.
The Council of Europe is not responsible for the contents of
this publication.